

EVENT DETAILS: The debate will be held in both Melbourne and Sydney at the following times and locations:

Chaired by Sam Ricketson

Date & Time: Thursday 28th May 2009 at 6pm
5.30pm refreshments for a 6.00pm start
Venue: Melbourne Law School, Level 9
185 Pelham Street, Carlton VIC 3010

Chaired by Andrew Stewart

Date & Time: Friday 29th May 2009 at 1pm
12.30pm refreshments for a 1pm start
Venue: Baker & McKenzie , Level 27, A.M.P Centre
50 Bridge Street, Sydney NSW 2000

RSVP: law-cmcl@unimelb.edu.au or call 03 8344 9970



MELBOURNE LAW SCHOOL
Australia's first, Australia's global

CMCL, IPRIA & UTS announce this public event:

“That *IceTV* is a hard case making bad law” - An academics’ debate

hosted by Melbourne Law School in Melbourne and Baker & McKenzie in Sydney

IceTV v Nine Network Australia involved the reproduction of entries from Nine Network’s weekly broadcast schedule by IceTV for use in the latter’s electronic program guide. In April 2009 the High Court of Australia handed down its unanimous decision finding that IceTV did not infringe Nine Network’s copyright, and in so doing overturned a unanimous decision of the Full Federal Court of Australia. The High Court’s decision challenges the orthodoxy as to how Australian copyright law affords protection to those who make compilations. The desirability of that for both the recognition of copyright works and how those works are infringed will be debated by four leading Australian intellectual property academics. David Lindsay (Monash) and David Brennan (Melbourne) will argue that IceTV is a hard case making bad law; William van Caenegem (Bond) and Kim Weatherall (Queensland) will argue the negative.

